



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,848	09/18/2001	Makoto Murata	2576-120	1439
6449	7590 08/04/2004		EXAM	INER
	LL, FIGG, ERNST & M	MILLER, BRANDON J		
1425 K STR SUITE 800	EET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2683	م <i>سم</i>
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	4 · 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/936,848	MURATA, MAKOTO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brandon J Miller	2683		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
THE MA - Extension after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	lay 2004.			
·	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims				
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10,12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are poly Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-10,12,13,15 and 16</u> is/are relaim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application	Papers				
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.			
10)[] Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	oplicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority une	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	_ Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Art Unit: 2683

**.** '

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, 12-13, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alperovich in view of Franza.

Regarding claim 1 Alperovich teaches a mobile telephone connected to a network system for mobile communications accommodating a plurality of mobile telephones (see col. 3, lines 1-16). Alperovich teaches generating user information related to a condition of a user that includes a specified user language and/or specified user medical data; and transmitting the user information on the network system for mobile communications (see col. 2, lines 17-18 & 21-22, col. 3, lines 64-67, and col. 4, lines 1-7). Alperovich teaches previously setting information related to a language that the user understands (see col. 2, lines 16-22, col. 3, lines 64-67 and col. 4, lines 1-7). Alperovich does not specifically teach adding to user information the information related to a language that the user understands. Franza teaches adding to user information the information related to a language that the user understands (see col. 5, lines 1-10 and col. 6, lines 5-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include adding to user information the information related to a

Art Unit: 2683

language that the user understands because this would allow for efficient routing of emergency calls based on user specified data.

Regarding claim 2 Alperovich teaches acquiring positional information of a mobile telephone (see col. 5, lines 31-34). Alperovich teaches providing positional information of the mobile telephone as user information (see col. 5, lines 35-41).

Regarding claim 4 Alperovich teaches a user inputting a code defining a condition of the user (see col. 3, lines 66-67 and col. 4, lines 1-2 & 60-64. Alperovich teaches adding to user information the code defining the condition of the user (see col. 53-64.

Regarding claim 5 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 7 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 8 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 9 Alperovich teaches a method of communicating information, for use in a mobile telephone connected to a network system for mobile communications accommodating a plurality of mobile telephones (see col. 3, lines 1-16). Alperovich teaches generating user information related to a condition of a user that includes a specified user language and/or specified user medical data; and transmitting the user information on the network system for mobile communications (see col. 2, lines 17-18 & 21-22, col. 3, lines 64-67, and col. 4, lines 1-7). Alperovich teaches previously setting information related to a language that the user understands (see col. 2, lines 16-22, col. 3, lines 64-67 and col. 4, lines 1-7).

Art Unit: 2683

Alperovich does not specifically teach adding to user information the information related to a language that the user understands. Franza teaches adding to user information the information related to a language that the user understands (see col. 5, lines 1-10 and col. 6, lines 5-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include adding to user information the information related to a language that the user understands because this would allow for efficient routing of emergency calls based on user specified data.

Regarding claim 10 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 12 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 13 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 15 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 16 Alperovich teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, 12-13, and 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2683

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Aarnio US Patent No. 6,522,889 discloses a method and apparatus for providing precise location information through a communications network.

Barnier et al. US Patent No. 6,690,932 discloses a system and method for providing language translation services in a telecommunication network.

Antonucci et al. US Patent No. 6,584,307 discloses a system and method for communicating between a special number call answering agency and a mobile action asset.

Maystre et al. US Patent No. 6,032,036 discloses an alarm and emergency call system.

Calaman US Patent No. 6,294,993 discloses system for providing personal security via event detection.

Lietsalmi et al. US Patent No. 6,201,974 discloses a mobile station and network having hierarchical index for cell broadcast service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J Miller whose telephone number is 703-305-4222. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 27, 2004

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600